

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 2	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 000003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 08/18/2008		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) AREA A POWER		6. ISSUED BY CODE DHS/OPO/DEPT.OPS		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE DHS/OPO/DEPT.OPS	
Department of Homeland Security Office of Procurement Operations Departmental Operations Division Room 3051-65, Attn: Paula Nusbaum 245 Murray Lane, SW, Bldg 410 Washington DC 20528		Department of Homeland Security Office of Procurement Operations Departmental Operations Division Room 3051-65, Attn: Paula Nusbaum 245 Murray Lane, SW, Bldg 410 Washington DC 20528			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)		(x) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. HSHQDC-08-R-00070 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 08/08/2008 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)			
CODE		FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.
 Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Tax ID Number: Not Available

DUNS Number: Not Available

Revisions to the solicitation begin on Page 2.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Johnnie Lewis-Banks	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED

SOLICITATION AMENDMENTS

This amendment replaces Attachment B – Drawings in its entirety.

Most of the Part II drawings are incorrectly numbered when printed out, but the drawing file name and the table of content labels are correct.

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

Question		Response
1	How are volume one (Technical proposal) and volume two (Business Proposal) weighted regarding evaluation importance, in terms of percentage for this RFP? Is either the Tech Prop. or Bus Prop. given more importance than the other in evaluating the best value of the bid, or are they equally as important?	<p>There are no weight differences between the technical and business proposals. This is a lowest price technically acceptable source selection process not a tradeoff source selection process. Please see FAR 15.101-1 and 15.101-2 for further explanation of the differences between these two techniques.</p> <p>In accordance with M-4 Basis of Award in the RFP, "award will be made to the Offeror that is determined to be the lowest price technically acceptable." This means that the Government will evaluate the technical proposals to determine whether or not they are acceptable. Award will then be made to the Offeror who proposes an acceptable technical proposal and the lowest price. Definitions for acceptable and unacceptable are listed in Section M of the RFP.</p>
2	Also, is the site visit on 8/26/08 mandatory attendance in order to bid or highly recommended?	The site visit is not mandatory but it is recommended that Offerors attend. See FAR provision 52.236-27 Site Visit (Construction) – Alternative I (Feb 1995) in Section L for further information.